

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,867	07/17/2003	Lloyd G. Mitchell	A35306-A 069906.0161	7609
38485	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX PLLC			KELLY, ROBERT M	
1675 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/621,867	MITCHELL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert M. Kelly	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			,			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	<u>une 2005</u> .				
2a)□	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Burea					
*:	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachman	n#(c)					
Attachmei	ιτ(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office	,	<del></del>			
PTOL-326 (F	Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 08082005			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-59 are presently pending.

## Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1-35, drawn to cells of the skin comprising a nucleic acid molecule that comprises target binding domains, spacer regions, and trans-splicing sequences, and a method of producing chimeric RNAs in skin cells, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- II. Claims 36-57, drawn to nucleic acids encoding target binding domains, spacer regions, and trans-splicing sequences, and eukaryotic expression vectors comprising such nucleic acids, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
- III. Claim 58-59, drawn to methods for correcting genetic defects and imaging gene expression of cells of the skin comprising the administration of nucleic acids comprising one or more target domains and sequences to be trans-spliced, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group II is related to Groups I and III as product and process of use, e.g., the nucleic acids and vectors of Group II can be used to make the skin cells of Group I or the methods of genetic correction or imaging of gene expression in Group III. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)).

Art Unit: 1632

In the instant case the product, i.e., the nucleic acids and vectors can be used in a materially different process, e.g., the skin cells of Group I or the methods of genetic correction or imaging of gene expression of Group III. Moreover, each of these inventions has different structure and therefore also functional considerations that are non-coextensive. A nucleic acid or vector has a materially-different structure than a cell comprising a nucleic acid, and the methods require a consideration of genetic defects or an image of gene expression.

Groups I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different, noncoextensive effects and they are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Group I may be used to produce chimeric RNA and Group III may be used to obtain genetic correction or an image of gene expression. Moreover, the skin cells have another use: ex vivo gene therapy and are not required for correcting a genetic defect or imaging gene expression in Group III. Lastly, each of these inventions requires different structural consideration: Group I requires a consideration of chimeric RNAs and the biochemistry of a skin cell, while group II requires a consideration of nucleic acids to be administered to a patient and correction of genetic defects or images of gene expression.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,867

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claims 12, 31, and 46 recite four distinct transgene types.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 9, 22, 28, 36, and 43 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kelly, Art Unit 1633, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0729. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 1632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-0731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert M. Kelly, Ph.D. Examiner, USPTO, AU 1633 2C55 Remsen Building (571) 272-0729

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6